Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Oyne Parent Council
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Neutral (neither support nor oppose)

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

There are advantages to a 20mph speed limit, but the Oyne Parent Council believe that unless speed violations are dealt with, signage improved with and traffic calming measures put in place the different speed limit would make no difference to our village. Such improvements would be our primary concern.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

There is currently a significant problem with speeding in the village of Oyne, particularly outside the school as it is on the main road through the village, causing significant risk to children and other pedestrians. The local authority have not yet done anything to improve signage or introduce traffic calming despite traffic surveys showing a significant proportion of motorists speed through the village and two traffic accidents within the last year in which speed was a contributing factor. Reducing the speed limit would require the problem being taken far more seriously than it currently is.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						Х
Local Authorities						Х

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Motorists			Х
Other			Х
Police Scotland			

	Q7.	Do	vou believe	there will be a	nv other bene	efits to reducin	a the speed	l limit from 3	0mph to 20m	ph?
--	-----	----	-------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-------------	-----

No Response

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the
Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation
marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be deliver	ed sustainably i.e.	. without having	likely future
disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental im	pact?		

Unsure

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

To ensure compliance with a reduced speed limit would require concerted action and effort. We remain unconvinced that reducing the speed limit would make any difference to the speeding problem if there was not follow through on implementation.