

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

*No Response*

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Graham Watson on behalf of the Ladywell PS Parent Council

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

The traffic passing along Ladywell Road is regularly "excessive" in speed (e.g. Either 30+ miles per hour, or at best too fast in respect of the potential dangers when driving next to a school where pupils may be entering or exiting). The threat to the pupils and local community is exaggerated by the, sometimes, inconsiderate parking along Ladywell Road and other adjoining roads and drivers rarely adjust their speed appropriately comply with this additional hazard.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

The existing proposals as is, coupled with an additional aspect, tackling parking, making areas immediately surrounding schools a "no-parking" zone, or at least a "zero-tolerance to bad parking" zone.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reduce the speed of drivers passing Ladywell Primary School, thus reducing the threat of injury or accident.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Slight inconvenience to drivers as journeys may take slightly longer.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Greater signage at the locations, advertising boards and definitely greater police/traffic warden presence, particularly at the critical times.

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

<b>Local Authorities</b>						
<b>Motorists</b>						
<b>Other</b>						
<b>Police Scotland</b>						

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Not sure about the answer to this question. Other than additional communication costs the Bill, as proposed, wouldn't necessarily have any impact on cost. However if additional officers (police, etc.) were required then that would be an additional cost.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

None more than already mentioned.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

None, specifically.

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No. Thank you for the opportunity to have our voices heard.