Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

	Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
	an individual
	Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
	Member of the public
	Please select the category which best describes your organisation
	No Response
	Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
	I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
L	
	Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
	Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.
L	

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

I support 20mph as default speed limit because of the significant quality of life improvements and as a cost-saving exercise so as to avoid the expense of rolling out 20mph speed limit areas which are not the default. As a pedestrian and bike user I appreciate the safety and more human-scale aspects of 20mph roads. As a driver I appreciate that when I drive at a safer, slower and more environmentally-friendly speed, that I am backed up by legislation which protects me from impatient and aggressive drivers. As a resident, I appreciate the better air quality and reduced noise of 20mph roads. This should be the default for roads in Scotland.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reduce emissions Better, safer driving Money saving Friendlier road landscapes

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Policing

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

No Response

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government				Х		
Local Authorities					Х	

Q6. Taking account of both roposed Bill to have?	costs and potential sa	avings, what find	ancial impact would	you expect the
Other			Λ	X
Police Scotland				
07. Do you believe there w	ill be any other benefi	ts to reducing th	e speed limit from 3	0mph to 20mph?
ge 14: Equalities				

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Positive

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be deliver	ed sustainably i.e.	. without having	likely future
disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental im	pact?		

Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response