Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	following	ng best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	ed limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

It's pointless to have a blanket 20mph limit in operation. Good idea around schools and places where motorists will see the sense in this, but to have a blanket 20mph limit you risk drivers not seeing the sense in the limit so not adhering to it. If you are so concerned about the environment, why not have smart traffic lights that don't have cars sitting idling at a red light at midnight.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

There are no advantages to the proposal, the 20mph limit should be targeted to particular area such as schools etc. A blanket limit is far too blunt a tool.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

The best way for people to comply with any law is to gain the support of those people in the first place. Drink driving is unacceptable only because public opinion deems it unacceptable, not because it's against the law.

You must have the support of the general public in the first place for compliance.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists			Х			

Other		Y		
		X		
Police Scotland				
'				
7. Do you believe there wil	I be any other benefits t	o reducing the sp	peed limit from 30	Omph to 20mph?
la .	-			
No				
ge 14: Equalities				
je 14. Equalities				
8. What overall impact is the quality Act 2010): race, dis parriage and civil partnershi	ability, sex, gender re-a	ssignment, age,		
eutral (neither positive nor	negative)			
	,			
9. Could any negative impa voided?	act of the proposed Bill o	on any of these p	protected groups	be minimised or
lo Response				
		_		
ge 16: Sustainab	ility of the prop	osal		
ge 16: Sustainab	ne proposed Bill can be	delivered sustain	ably i.e. without	having likely future
210. Do you consider that this isproportionate economic, s	ne proposed Bill can be	delivered sustain	nably i.e. without	having likely future
210. Do you consider that this isproportionate economic, s	ne proposed Bill can be	delivered sustain	ably i.e. without	having likely future
210. Do you consider that th	ne proposed Bill can be	delivered sustain	nably i.e. without	having likely future
210. Do you consider that this isproportionate economic, s	ne proposed Bill can be	delivered sustain	nably i.e. without	having likely future

No Response