# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

We are always encouraging parents and children to travel actively to and from school, the benefit of lowered speed limits is immense in this regard.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

The main benefit would undoubtedly be a reduced risk of serious road traffic accidents.

More parents are likely to encourage their children to walk/scoot/cycle to school which obviously has huge health benefits.

More parents may consider letting their older primary age children travel to school themselves, without adult supervision, if vehicular traffic posed less of a risk. The social and psychological benefits of allowing younger children to take personal responsibility in an age of helicopter parenting is truly worthwhile.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Possible traffic bottlenecks if it takes longer for vehicles to pass through a town, and possibly more risk of air pollution?

Costs involved in implementing new signage and/or awareness.

Costs involved in creating build outs or speed bumps if that was the proposed means for slowing traffic.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Unsure

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						

proposed Bill to h	ave?					
Local Authorities						
Motorists						
Other						
Police Scotland						
	he reasons for yogh knowledge to a		y confidence.			
Q7. Do you believ	ve there will be any	y other benefit	s to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 20r	nph?
Unsure						
Page 14: Equ	ıalities					
Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?						
Neutral (neither p	oositive nor negativ	ve)				
Q9. Could any ne avoided?	egative impact of th	ne proposed Bi	ill on any of th	ese protected g	roups be minimise	ed or
Unsure						
Page 16: Sus	stainability (	of the pro	posal			

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the

# Page 17: General

Yes

limit on restricted roads?	;d
No	