# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

I understand that slower streets are safer and greener. In principle, I sorry the move to reduce the speed limit. However, I am not convinced that a 20mph will be beneficial unless it is enforced. Otherwise it is expensive but doesn't change driver behaviour. I have witnessed aggressive driving in Edinburgh, where drivers sticking to the new limit are treated as obstacles and are overtaken in unsafe and inconsiderate manners.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

I think as well as reducing the speed of traffic in built up areas, we should be doing everything possible to reduce the amount of traffic.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safer, quieter residential roads.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Slower traffic.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Greater police enforcement. Speed bumps.

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	х					
Local Authorities	х					
Motorists				Х		

Other						
Police Scotland						
Q7. Do you believe the	ere will be any of	ther benefits	to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 2	20mph?
No Response						
ge 14: Equalit	ies					
<b>9</b> 0 1 11 <b>– 4</b> 0.0						
Q8. What overall impa Equality Act 2010): rac narriage and civil part	e, disability, sex	k, gender re-a	assignment,			
Neutral (neither positiv	e nor negative)					
Please explain the re Fraffic throughput isn't			wer traffic is	safer for every	one.	
Q9. Could any negativavoided?	e impact of the p	oroposed Bill	on any of th	nese protected g	roups be minim	ised or
No Response						
ge 16: Sustaiı	nability of	the proj	oosal			
	_					
					ithout having lik	ely future
Q10. Do you consider disproportionate econo			•			
disproportionate econo						
disproportionate econo						
disproportionate econo						

No Response