# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

| Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?  |
|---|
| an individual   |
|   |
| Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)  |
| Member of the public  |
|   |
| Please select the category which best describes your organisation   |
| No Response   |
|   |
| Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.   |
| I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation  |
|   |
| Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published. |
| Neil Thompson   |
|   |
| Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.                                |
|   |
|   |

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Think 20mph is safer for pedestrians & cyclists. I like the idea that it might encourage people to use their cars less & be more active.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Don't know enough about the process

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safety without a doubt

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Don't think there is any real disadvantages

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Better enforcement than is seen currently in Edinburgh

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                        | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly<br>cost-<br>neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish<br>Government |                              |                       |                             |                        |                               | Х      |
| Local<br>Authorities   |                              | Х                     |                             |                        |                               |        |
| Motorists              |                              |                       | Х                           |                        |                               |        |
| Other                  |                              |                       | Х                           |                        |                               |        |

| Police Scotland  Passe explain the reasons for your response st to local authorities will be increased a bit by signage & advertigation.  Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the opefully a healthier nation | •  |
|---|--|
| st to local authorities will be increased a bit by signage & adve   | •  |
| ppefully a healthier nation   | ne speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?        |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| e 14: Equalities  |  |
| . What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the uality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, a triage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?  |  |
| utral (neither positive nor negative)   |  |
| ease explain the reasons for your response ouldn't negatively impact those groups   |  |
| . Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of the bided?   | ese protected groups be minimised or       |
| ot sure   |  |
|   |  |
| e 16: Sustainability of the proposal  |  |
| Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sus proportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?   | stainably i.e. without having likely futur |
| sure  |  |
|   |  |
| e 17: General   |  |
| Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proit on restricted roads?   | oposal to establish a 20mph default sp     |