Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

| Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation? |
|---|
| an individual |
| |
| Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".) |
| Member of the public |
| |
| Please select the category which best describes your organisation |
| No Response |
| |
| Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published. |
| I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name) |
| |
| Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published. |
| |
| |
| Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details. |
| |
| |

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

| Q1.۱ | Which | of the | followir | ig best | expres | ses y | our/ | view | of the | proposal | to | replace | the o | current | 30mph | default |
|------|---------|--------|----------|---------|----------|-------|-------|------|--------|----------|----|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| spee | d limit | on res | stricted | roads | with a 2 | 0mp | h lim | it. | | | | | | | | |

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

I think each road should be looked at individually rather than a blanket built up area equals 20 mph.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

I am not sure whether it would be effective if it was not through a bill

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

hopefully less accidents with pedestrians. It would be great if this could be done without the need for speed bumps

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

only if every road becomes 20 mph. How would it be enforced?

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

There is a 20 mph road near us and it has 20 in a circle painted on the road and I think that is brilliant as it really stands out as well as the signs at the side of the road.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

| | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly cost- neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish Government | | X | | | | |
| Local Authorities | Х | | | | | |
| Motorists | | | Х | | | |
| Other | | | | | | Х |

| proposed Bill to have | | no potentiai sa | avings, what ii | nanciai impact v | would you expect | tne |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Police Scotland | | | | | | |
| Please explain the If the costs are pas budgets are already | t on to local auth | orities I genuir | nely do not kn | ow if they would | d be able to afforc | I this as |
| Q7. Do you believe | there will be any | y other benefit | s to reducing | the speed limit f | rom 30mph to 20 | mph? |
| Could there be an | environmental in | npact? | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Page 14: Equa | ılities | | | | | |
| Q8. What overall im Equality Act 2010): marriage and civil p | race, disability, | sex, gender re | -assignment, | | | |
| Unsure | | | | | | |
| Q9. Could any nega | ative impact of th | ne proposed B | ill on any of th | ese protected o | roups be minimis | ed or |
| avoided? | zuvo impaot or u | ю рторосса В | 61. 41., 6. 1 | iooo protootoa g | , cape so minimo | |
| No Response | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| age 16: Sust | ainability o | of the pro | posal | | | |
| Q10. Do you considusproportionate ec | | | | | ithout having like | y future |
| No | | | | | | |
| Please explain the | | our response: | | | | |

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response