# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

A blanket replacement of 30mph with 20mph would not be appropriate. However around schools, hospitals, and where there is a significant risk of pedestrian traffic on the road due to size or lack of a pavement in residential areas, we definitely need 20mph limit. West Linton village has a number of thin roads with bends and restricted views, and the added complication of no or thin pavements so pedestrians are regularly on the road including school children. These areas definitely need a 20mph limit.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Targeted lower speed limits in areas where there is an increased risk to pedestrians, cyclists and particularly children and the infirm.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reduced risk to pedestrians etc of being run over by vehicles.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Frustrated drivers who will still speed and potentially overtake in areas where they feel the 20mph is not appropriate, ie in normal width city/ town streets with unrestricted views away from high risk areas such as schools.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Signs with detection systems that would flash up warnings if speed limit was broken by more than 2/3 miles per hour

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			

Q6. Taking account of be proposed Bill to have?	oth costs and potential sav	rings, what	t financial impa	ct would you	expect the				
proposed bill to have:									
Local Authorities	X								
Motorists		Х							
Other		Х							
Police Scotland									
Please explain the reasons for your response Initial costs for signs, however no increase long term									
Q7. Do you believe there	e will be any other benefits	to reducin	g the speed lin	nit from 30mpl	h to 20mph?				
No									

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e.	without having likely future	re
disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?		

Yes

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response