Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. V	Vhich	of the f	ollowing b	est ex	presses	your	view (of the	proposal	to r	eplace	the cur	rrent 3	30mph	default
speed	d limit	on rest	ricted roa	ds with	a 20mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Reducing speed around schools is important for the safety of children

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reducing speed in streets around schools will be safer for children. It will allow them to cross roads more safely - and reduce accidents. it may also lead to more children walking to and from school which is better for their health, particularly given the obesity crisis in Scotland. Furthermore, if we encourage more children to walk to school rather than travel in car this will be better for the environment.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Proper penalties to individuals who break the law. Media (including social media) coverage of the new law - highlighting benefits. this would be particularly strong if it involved some personal stories and if children were to be involved

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			
Local Authorities			Х			
Motorists						
Other						

P						
Police Scotland						
Q7. Do you belie	e there will be	any other	benefits to r	educing the sp	eed limit from 3	0mph to 20mph?
Health and enviro	nment - as pi	reviously sta	ated			
age 14: Equ	alities					
): race, disabi	lity, sex, ger	nder re-assi	gnment, age, re		groups (under the ef, sexual orientation
Neutral (neither p	ositive nor ne	gative)				
Q9. Could any ne avoided?	gative impact	of the propo	osed Bill on	any of these pr	otected groups	be minimised or
No Response						
		(- ((l)				
4C- C	4 - ! l . ! ! ! !	ev or the	∍ propo	sai		
age 16: Sus	tainabilit					
Q10. Do you cons	ider that the p	oroposed Bi			ably i.e. without	having likely future
Q10. Do you cons disproportionate e	ider that the p	oroposed Bi			ably i.e. without	having likely future
Q10. Do you considisproportionate e	ider that the p	oroposed Bi			ably i.e. without	having likely future
Q10. Do you cons disproportionate e	ider that the p conomic, soc	oroposed Bi			ably i.e. without	having likely future
Q10. Do you cons disproportionate e Yes	ider that the peconomic, soc	proposed Bi pial and/or el	nvironmenta	Il impact?		having likely future 20mph default spee