Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Adherence to speed limits is already poor, lowering the speed limit will not change this, and will only increase the number of people who speed on our roads. For instance, I live on a 'Twenty's Plenty' road, and have done for more than 10 years. Even with speed bumps and the risk of meeting a double decker bus on a corner, my neighbours and I rarely cover the full distance of the zone at 20mph or less. Even the buses on the street don't adhere to the speed limit!

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

I don't think the aims are particularly valid, therefore I'm not sure if they can be delivered in another way.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

I can't see any advantages of this proposal.

You might save and extra life or two, or encourage one more person to walk or cycle, but in reality, more people are going to get frustrated in their vehicles / speed / take additional risks, and in the long run, there will likely be more injuries.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

more people speeding more frustration and road rage more risky road crossing behaviour from pedestrians

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Advertising signage already exists and people just ignore it.

Police enforcement already exists, but you rarely see a police officer or a speed camera/car, so no-one really cares.

You can't put cameras everywhere.

We haven't stopped people smoking in cars, or eating, or using their mobile phones. You're not going to stop people driving faster than 20mph.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government				Х		
Local Authorities			Х			
Motorists	Х					
Other						Х
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

There's always a cost to the motorist, as we're the bad guys. I don't believe that there will be much reduction in costs or savings, it's just someone elses half cocked idea to get their name out there and get a promotion.

27	Do.	you believe	there	will be:	anv of	her l	henefits:	to reduc	cina tl	he si	need	limit :	from	30m	nh to	20m	nh?
∝ ι.	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	you believe	uicic	WIII DC	arry Ot	1101	DCHCHIG	io icau	<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	110 0	poou				סוו נט	20111	PIII

No.

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Please explain the reasons for your response

What on earth does this bill have to do with those protected groups? Old / disabled / pregnant people can cross the road easier? If the road is that busy, build a crossing.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Really? Who cares?

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Horrible road signs will need to be build. Horrible lines will have to be painted on roads. These will have to be updated and/or re-painted regularly. Will cost money that doesn't need to be spent.

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

It's given me a laugh, but I think that after Curriculum for Excellence, this is one of the most ridiculous things I've heard.