

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Sandy McKay  
BEng CEng CMarEng MIMechE MIMarEST MAPM

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially opposed

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

1) I see no correlation between lowering speed limits leading to increased Walking , Cycling or any exercise; this it a wish and is not supported with any facts. 2) implementing this measure by having speed bumps or sleeping policeman , has not been thought through. These measures will lead to low-frequency noise damage to roadside property, and damage to vehicle wheels/tyres/suspension, also the varyance of speed between bumps will lead to excessive generstion of noxious substances from vehicle engines.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Wider indication of the speed limits in the roads affected.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

There arte no advantages, the potential damage to housing and vehicles outweighs any benifit.

Better road layout, with bends/chicanes, is a far better way to ensure speeds are kept low.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

damage to road side housing through low frequency vibration.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

I agree with sinage

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                     | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly cost-neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish Government | X                            |                       |                      |                        |                               |        |

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Local Authorities</b> | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Motorists</b>         | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Other</b>             | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Police Scotland</b>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

**Please explain the reasons for your response**  
see previous responses

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

I agree there will be benefit in reduction of pollution and accidents, but not with speed bumps

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response**  
these proposed measures could potentially benefit all people, not only the groups above

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

This is a stupid question

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**  
see previous answers

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

none that I have not previously answered