

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Jon Anslow

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

1. More nanny state interference in my life biased to young fit people who live in city's. 2. No costings for the extra travel time 50% I believe would be a rough figure, 3. Who do I receive compensation from for my time wasted by this lunacy. 4. No other costings of any description about the economic drag of this (and I hate to use the word) idea. 5. Not working where it has been implemented as its a silly idea. 6. just like to point out we agree to be governed in this country not dictated too by people with too much time on there hands.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

Drop it and get rid of the ones already imposed.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None, should help cause an even faster collapse of the Scottish economy.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

1. Cost to implement is completely wasted.
2. Fiscal drag to the economy isn't even mentioned let alone costed.
3. Fiscal drag to me.
4. Completely unfair to drivers who pay for most of the governments spending via taxes.
5. Most voters also drive, your going to be very unpopular with them.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

None, don't do it.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Local Authorities	X					
Motorists	X					
Other						
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

You have just wasted a fortune building another bridge across the river forth because it would help the economy, now you want to throw that away by wasting time and money on this frankly daft proposal.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No.

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly negative

Please explain the reasons for your response

Not going to make any positive improvement and a few babies may be born on the streets because the mother didn't make it to hospital in time.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Yes, don't do it.

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Going to help shut down Scotland if the constant referendums don't.

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Don't do it.