

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

- It would make for a much more pleasant experience as a pedestrian and cyclist. - It would increase road safety, and help to prevent unnecessary road deaths. - It would encourage people to consider modes of transport other than the car (without reducing the accessibility of the city for those who are unable to travel by other means)

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

Making 20mph the default speed limit, with the ability to apply for other speed limits where appropriate, seems like a sensible approach to me.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

- Reduced road deaths
- Nicer and more accessible streets for residents of all demographics

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

- Though expensive, roads should be redesigned to reduce speed where appropriate. (People will always drive quickly on long straight roads).

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government				X		

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Local Authorities				X		
Motorists				X		
Other				X		
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Scottish Government and Local Authorities would see the benefits of reduced road casualties/fatalities, and increased public health due to walking more. Motorists encouraged to walk and cycle more would see financial savings from reduced car use. Businesses would benefit from increased footfall.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

- Increased public well-being from living in and walking through nicer places
- Reduced noise pollution

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

Slower traffic would allow children, the elderly and those with mobility problems to interact with the street-scape more confidently and safely.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response