# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. V	Vhich	of the f	ollowing b	est ex	presses	your	view (	of the	proposal	to r	eplace	the cur	rrent 3	30mph	default
speed	d limit	on rest	ricted roa	ds with	a 20mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Huge increase in traffic where I live, and several schools in the area. We are near motorway and many motorists do not sufficiently lower their speed when leaving motorway.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Bill gives power and clout to the initiative and is more likely to be taken seriously and implemented effectively.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Quite simply it would cut speeds, improve pedestrian safety, esp. children and generally make our roads safer.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None!

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Plenty of signage to ensure motorists knew exactly what the max speed was from one place to the next. And I think police enforcement, certainly in the early days, would be a big help.

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						x
Local Authorities						х
Motorists						Х

				1		
Other						Х
Police Scotland						
Please explain the lot sure but don't				arkings, signage	e, advertising cam	paign
Q7. Do you believ	ve there will be a	any other benefi	ts to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 20n	nph?
Only the ones I've	e already indicat	ted				
only the ones i w	- ancady maioai					
ge 14: Equ	alities					
go 14. Equ	antics					
equality Act 2010	): race, disability	, sex, gender r	e-assignment,	ne following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the
equality Act 2010	): race, disability	, sex, gender r	e-assignment,	e following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the ientation
Q8. What overall is equality Act 2010 narriage and civil	): race, disability partnership, pre	/, sex, gender regnancy and m	e-assignment,	e following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the ientation
Equality Act 2010 narriage and civil	): race, disability partnership, pre	y, sex, gender regnancy and m	e-assignment, aternity?	e following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the ientation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil Neutral (neither pole Please explain the	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor nega ne reasons for	y, sex, gender regnancy and m	e-assignment, aternity?	e following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil Neutral (neither pole Please explain the	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor nega ne reasons for	y, sex, gender regnancy and m	e-assignment, aternity?	e following proto age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the ientation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor nega ne reasons for	y, sex, gender regnancy and m	e-assignment, aternity?	e following prote age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	er the
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil Neutral (neither particular) Please explain the Bill is irrelevant to	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor negative ne reasons for y these groups	y, sex, gender regnancy and metive)	e-assignment, aternity?	age, religion an	ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori	entation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil Neutral (neither particle) Please explain the Bill is irrelevant to	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor negative ne reasons for y these groups  gative impact of	your response	e-assignment, aternity?	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil leutral (neither parties explain the sill is irrelevant to 29. Could any newooded?	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor negative ne reasons for y these groups  gative impact of	your response	e-assignment, aternity?	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil leutral (neither particle) Please explain the bill is irrelevant to 29. Could any nevoided?	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor negative ne reasons for y these groups  gative impact of	your response	e-assignment, aternity?	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation
equality Act 2010 narriage and civil leutral (neither particle) Please explain the bill is irrelevant to 29. Could any nevoided?	): race, disability partnership, pre ositive nor negative reasons for y these groups  gative impact of yould be any neg	your response the proposed E	e-assignment, aternity?	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation
deutral (neither partiage and civil leutral (neither partiage explain the fill is irrelevant to conded?  Don't feel there were ge 16: Sus	ctainability	y, sex, gender regnancy and metive)  your response the proposed E	e-assignment, aternity?  Bill on any of the oposal	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation
deutral (neither partiage and civil)  Reutral (neither partiage explain the bill is irrelevant to provided?  Don't feel there was ge 16: Sus	catainability	your response the proposed E gative impact of the proposed Bill can	e-assignment, aternity?  Bill on any of the oposal be delivered s	age, religion an	d belief, sexual ori	entation

# Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Long overdue in my area, where there has been huge expansion of housebuilding and retail outlets,etc