Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. V	Vhich	of the f	ollowing b	est ex	presses	your	view (of the	proposal	to r	eplace	the cur	rrent 3	30mph	default
speed	d limit	on rest	ricted roa	ds with	a 20mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better	delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish
Parliament)?	

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safer roads, less pollution, increased walking / cycling and a healthier population reducing the burden on the NHS

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Poor enforcement may limit the benefits.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Tougher enforcement. The use of mobile average speed cameras deployed in 20mph (and 30mph) zones would be advantageous.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government				Х		
Local Authorities				Х		
Motorists			Х			
Other				Х		
Police Scotland						

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No Response

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?
Slightly positive
Please explain the reasons for your response Roads will become safer for the elderly and disabled. Cycling will become more accessible to women.
Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?
No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?
Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response