

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Anne Mansfield

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

The speed limit where I live is 30mph .Our local school is right by the road & I want 20 mph limit to provide safer less pollution .Along with more walkways/cycleways .Ours is a rural area where road traffic has priority over pedestrians .I want to see that changed & a Twenty is Plenty campaign would inform local people .

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

There is a speed limit already -30mph & I see it disregarded .The police do not enforce this limit but a political act by the elected government gives publicity & legality to the campaign.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

To inform local people & families about safeguarding themselves ,their young people ,children & pets .It would make people think about what sort of environment they want for themselves .One that gives priority to cars lorries tractors etc or to walking /cycling /dogwalking people .

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Change priority from vehicles/roads to people (sharing not instead of)

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Measures that reflect equal right of citizens to safety & clean air.To remind motorist (who are people too !)

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						X
Local Authorities		X				

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Motorists			X			
Other						
Police Scotland						

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Yes If effective it will show local people that government & local authorities listen & act for them .

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

Its sure to be positive because like everyone else safe & health concern us all.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

yes provision of information that visually /hearing /physically impaired folk have access to .

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Publicity via local press /village halls /public meetings would not be expensive ,then change of signs & police to enforce annually ? public safety & health should be a priority .

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

I would like to see public opinion supporting this & if people like me involved in local activity (village hall committees /community councils) need to be kept informed ?