Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Representative organisation (trade union, professional association)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Jonathan Christie, Chair, Alford Primary Parent Council (Aberdeenshire)
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Fully supportive of a very sensible proposal that will make all road users safer, and further protect vulnerable groups.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

Please explain the reasons for your response

The aims could be further enhanced with additional traffic calming measures.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Improved road safety for all users and pedestrians.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

N/A

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Robust policing.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			
Local Authorities			Х			
Motorists			Х			
Other			Х			

proposed Bill to h	nt of both costs a	and potential sa	avings, what fi	nancial impact v	would you expe	ct the
Police Scotland						
Q7. Do you believ	e there will be ar	ny other benefit	s to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 2	20mph?
No Response						
age 14: Equ	alities					
Q8. What overall Equality Act 2010 marriage and civi): race, disability,	, sex, gender re	-assignment,			
Slightly positive						
Q9. Could any ne avoided?	gative impact of	the proposed B	ill on any of th	ese protected g	roups be minim	nised or
No Response						
age 16: Sus	tainability	of the pro	posal			
			•			
Q10. Do you condisproportionate					ithout having lik	cely future
					ithout having lik	xely future
disproportionate of	economic, social				ithout having lik	cely future
disproportionate of Yes	neral e any other comn	and/or environn	nental impact [*]	· ·		