

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

*No Response*

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

CRANHILL COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

reducing speed saves lives and is also good for the environment. We live a very busy life but we need to heed our responsibility to our neighbourhood and all road users. Pedestrians young and old. Cyclists and moterists and all road users should support this change.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

This will ensure Road safety is a responsibility for all and allow the law to deal with those who fail to observe it. It will also change the culture of how all new drivers and cyclists use the roads. More importantly save lives.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Cutting speed saves lives and the omission of heavy goods vehicles and motor bikes would divert them away from residential areas onto motorways and main roads.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None, only positive advantages. Business Road users need to change the way the use our roads. Congestion on our roads is not down to our speed limit. But it is a way to ensure business users including bus companies are more proactive and help to reduce omissions and pollution as well as other drivers. Also cyclists need to be using roads properly and not cycling on pavements and shopping malls.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

If this goes ahead the implication for all road user will need to be looked at and enforcement should be made clear. Signage needs to be applied I'm all residential areas not just around schools and nurseries. We need to educate all drivers and cyclists use DVLA get the message out there.

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists		X				
Other		X				
Police Scotland						

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

No in life is for free. If this is done properly it will save lives and contribute to climate change something we are getting good at in Scotland. Perhaps we can get assistance with funding via EU, WESTMINSTER and WHO.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Education for motorists if u want speed go find it on organised events not our neighbourhood please.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Because it is for all of us to be responsible Road user. It might also have an impact on who insurance companies premiums. Safer motorist are supposed to be able to save money. Perhaps there could be incentives to drivers less cost to insurers should be passed on to motorists not just the Chancellor of the exchequer.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

I am not aware of any.

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

Save lives, disability. Impact on family lives and economy. NHS, EMPLOYMENT, Businesses and the environment... Less insurance paid out generate incentives for good drivers.

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Remember this about lives first, the environment and saving money in the long term. Sounds like a good investment to my community.