Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

| Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation? |
|---|
| on behalf of an organisation |
| |
| Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".) |
| No Response |
| |
| Please select the category which best describes your organisation |
| Representative organisation (trade union, professional association) |
| |
| Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published. |
| I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation |
| |
| Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published. |
| Inverurie Community Council |
| |
| Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details. |
| |
| |
| |

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

The ICC believe that it will bring about a safer environment within communities along with substantial positive environmental benefits

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

At this time the proposal is best delivered through Scottish Parliament until the legislative program is complete

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safer environment for pedestrians, cyclists in built up areas

Environmental benefits by reduction of vehicular traffic speed

Creation of a new culture for drivers within built up areas - Zero Tolerence of excessive speed in such areas

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Insufficient Policing resources to enforce

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Local Authorities to examine High Risk areas within communities and investigate additional safety measures such as Speed Humps or narrowing of roads creating chicane type road that forces speed reduction

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

| | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly cost- neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish Government | | | | | X | |

| Q6. Ta | aking account of both | costs and potentia | l savings, v | what financial | impact would y | ou expect the |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| propos | sed Bill to have? | | | | | |

| Local Authorities | x | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Motorists | | | X | |
| Other | | | | |
| Police Scotland | | | | |

Please explain the reasons for your response

Introduction of 20mph scheme would lead to significant cost to Local Authorities in implementation - Signs and additional support required

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No Response

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Please explain the reasons for your response

No impact whatsoever

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

| Q10. Do you | consider th | at the proposed | Bill can be de | elivered sustaina | ıbly i.e. w | ithout having | likely f | uture |
|----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-------|
| disproportiona | ate econom | nic, social and/or | environmen | tal impact? | | | | |

Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

ICC are fully supportive on the initiative and would like to see trials conducted in numerous locations across a broad range of communities to ascertain impact