

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

*No Response*

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

James Aiton Primary School Parent Council (Cambuslang, Glasgow)

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Children are children, they don't always pay attention and they can be careless. Drivers are grown-ups and they SHOULD pay attention. If they can't be careful by driving slower on the roads around our schools, then the law needs to enforce this.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

It would change the mindset of drivers completely. It would become to norm to drive slower around housing, schools, public spaces, making it safer for our children, and elderly to walk to their destinations.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

We can't see any disadvantages if it saves lives.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Speed bump and signs that show the speed you are doing. People who drive too fast on roads where there are many children clearly don't care about the children, but they may care about hurting their car on bumps, or being fined.

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists			X			
Other			X			

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

<b>Police Scotland</b>						
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

It will cost the government and local authorities, but there may be savings made by the reduction in accidents. It will only cost the motorist time.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Safety is the number one benefit, but overall, a change in the mindset of drivers, especially young drivers starting out, will be benefit.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

I'm unsure how this is relevant!

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Again, I fail to understand how reducing the speedlimit would effect 'protected groups' any more or less than your 'average' human being.

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Unsure

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

*No Response*