Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Politician (MSP/MP/peer/MEP/Councillor)
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Julie Jones-Evans
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Vehicles have taken our streets over and effects our communities negatively. If we were to invent the car today there is no way we would say 30mph is a safe limit in our residential roads.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

It's very resource intensive to do small areas one by one. Compliance is essential and by having a consistent approach, ie all residential streets on the country at 20mph everyone understands and compliance is easier, it also helps out the police with their enforcement

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Consistency Compliance Improved health outcomes Better air quality

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Initial public resistance

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Police would need resources to light touch enforcement. Improve pedestrian access in towns and villages. Let vehicle drivers understand that they are in a people first area, new signage perhaps?

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	ease in increase in		Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government					X	

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Local Authorities			Х		
Motorists		X			
Other				Х	
Police Scotland					

Please explain the reasons for your response

Once embedded people can be more active leading to better health, injuries through accidents are not as bad, improved economy as people use town and villages more, better environment for tourism. improved air quality

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Economic Social wellbeing Cycling Sustainable transport choices

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

It will positively impact on everyone

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10.	Do you co	nsider that	the proposed	l Bill can b	e delivered	l sustainably	/ i.e. with	out having	likely f	uture
dispr	oportionate	economic	c, social and/o	r environr	nental impa	ct?				

Yes

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Please explain the reasons for your response:

It's better for the environment and doesn't require a lot of maintenance

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response