Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Professional with experience in a relevant subject
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. V	Vhich	of the f	ollowing b	est ex	presses	your	view o	of the	proposal	to r	eplace	the cui	rrent 3	30mph	default
speed	d limit	on rest	tricted roa	ds with	n a 20mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

We need to make roads in urban and residential areas safer. A lower speed limit would make it safer for children and all pedestrians. It could start to change the priority on the roads and make drivers understand and be more aware of children and other pedestrians. We need to make streets safer for children to play and for all children and adults to want to ride bikes and walk. When I walk to school with my daughter I take unusual routes to avoid busy roads and I will not take my children out cycling on the roads as the cars pass at too great a speed.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

As before. Safer for children and other pedestrians. Increased children's street play, and active travel.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None but it would need to be enforced to be effective.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Good enforcement.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			
Local Authorities			Х			
Motorists			Х			
Other				Х		

Q6. Taking accouproposed Bill to ha		nd potential sa	avings, what fi	nancial impact	would you expe	ct the
Police Scotland						
Q7. Do you believ	e there will be any	other benefit	s to reducing	the speed limit	from 30mph to 2	20mph?
No Response						
age 14: Equ	alities					
Q8. What overall in Equality Act 2010 marriage and civil	: race, disability,	sex, gender re	e-assignment,			
Neutral (neither po	ositive nor negativ	re)				
Q9. Could any neavoided?	gative impact of th	ne proposed B	ill on any of th	ese protected (groups be minim	ised or
No Response						
age 16: Sus	tainability o	of the pro	pposal			
Q10. Do you cons disproportionate e					vithout having lik	ely future
Yes						
age 17: Gen	eral					
Q11. Do you have limit on restricted		ents or sugges	stions on the p	proposal to esta	blish a 20mph d	lefault speed
No Response						