# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

### Please explain the reasons for your response

I suspect a blanket change to speed limits is being considered as a cheaper alternative to considering changes on a case by case scenario. There are areas where a 20mph speed limit would be appropriate but areas where a 30mph speed limit remains appropriate are far greater.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

### Please explain the reasons for your response

Local councils could consider 20mph limits where appropriate to their local areas.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

A reduction in pedestrian injuries and deaths.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Causing frustration to drivers which results in danegerous driving.

Policing of draconian speed limits reduces the publics confidence in police.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Policing and fines are the only meaningful measure. Requiring more police to be moved from issues that matter more to the public

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	Х					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists	Х					

proposed Bill to ha	106:				
Other					X
Police Scotland					
Q7. Do you believ	e there will be any ot	her benefits to re	educing the spe	eed limit from 30n	nph to 20mph?
No					
ge 14: Equ	alities				
Equality Act 2010)	mpact is the propose c: race, disability, sex partnership, pregnar	, gender re-assiç	gnment, age, re		
Neutral (neither po	ositive nor negative)				
Q9. Could any negavoided?	gative impact of the p	proposed Bill on a	any of these pr	otected groups be	e minimised or
No Response					
40. O	( - !   -   !   !   £	41	1		
ge 16: Sus	tainability of	tne propos	sai		
	ider that the propose conomic, social and/			bly i.e. without ha	aving likely future
No					
ige 17: Gen	eral				

limit on restricted roads?

No Response