# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	following	ng best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	ed limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

A blanket 20mph speed limit should not be the case a one size fits all will not be necessary everywhere this will also decline our growing infrastructure in the country slowing business growth and development not to mention the cost of enforcing this everywhere

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

No Response

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	Х					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists			Х			
Other						Х

Police Scotland		
Occilana		
Q7. Do you belie	ve there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed lin	nit from 30mph to 20mph?
No		
ngo 14: Egy	ualities	
ige 14: Equ	adities	
Equality Act 2010	impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following p  D): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion  I partnership, pregnancy and maternity?	
Neutral (neither p	positive nor negative)	
OQ Could any no	egative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protecte	ad groups he minimized or
avoided?	gative impact of the proposed bill on any of these protecte	ea groups be minimisea or
No Response		
No Response		
No Response		
	stainability of the proposal	
age 16: Sus	stainability of the proposal  sider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e economic, social and/or environmental impact?	e. without having likely future
age 16: Sus	sider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e	e. without having likely future
age 16: Sus Q10. Do you con disproportionate	sider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e	e. without having likely future
age 16: Sus Q10. Do you con disproportionate	sider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e	e. without having likely future
Q10. Do you condisproportionate Unsure	sider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e economic, social and/or environmental impact?	e. without having likely future
Q10. Do you con disproportionate Unsure	neral  re any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to each	