

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Mark McKail

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

There has to be an assisted flow not a hindered one in order to reduce the bottlenecks caused all over the town due to 20mph zones. There are ample safety measures all over town, cameras, one way systems, pelican crossings, dedicated cycle lanes, and of course the tram system.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

By not having it its not required

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

There are none I have driven in and around the whole of the UK since 1987 thirty years without restricted 20 mph zones. SO WHY NOW

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

More congestion more frustration less revenue for ECC if it chooses to force drivers out of the town

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

NOT REQUIRED MORE WASTE OF TAXPAYER MONIES

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					
Local Authorities	X					
Motorists	X					
Other	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

<b>Police Scotland</b>						
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

We do not need more proposals that hit the soft target motorists

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No As the thirty years I have driven with 30mph restrictions have been perfectly adequate.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Negative

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

This is not relevant to the bill

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Again I don't think that there would be any impact

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

All the expense for the bill will come from all groups

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Yes please use the resources proposed for this for better causes