# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

I am opposed to this as there is already a mechanism in place to reduce speed limits to twenty where it is deemed necessary and feel it is atotal waste of time and public money.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

High cost to change all 30 limits to 20 which is unnecessary when limits can already be changed where required.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Almost impossible

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	Х					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists		Х				
Other						Х
Police Scotland						

Q7. Do you believe	e there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?
No	
age 14: Equa	alities
Equality Act 2010):	mpact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, partnership, pregnancy and maternity?
Neutral (neither po	sitive nor negative)
Q9. Could any neg avoided?	pative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or
No Response	
_	der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
	conomic, social and/or environmental impact?
No	
age 17: Gen	eral
Q11. Do you have limit on restricted re	any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default spee oads?
No Response	