Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

	Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
	an individual
	Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
	Member of the public
	Please select the category which best describes your organisation
	No Response
	Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
	I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
L	
	Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
	Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.
L	

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Safer cycling environment. Casualty reduction. Encourages sustainable and active travel.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

A national change saves time, money and resources.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safer environment for all road users.

Pollution reduction.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

An initial televised information broadcast.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			
Local Authorities			Х			
Motorists				X		
Other			Х			

Police Scotland					
27. Do you believe	there will be any ot	ther benefits to re	educing the speed	limit from 30mph	to 20mph?
No Response					
ge 14: Equa	lities				
Q8. What overall im Equality Act 2010): r narriage and civil pa	ace, disability, sex	k, gender re-assi	gnment, age, religi		
Positive					
Q9. Could any nega voided?	tive impact of the p	proposed Bill on	any of these protec	cted groups be m	ninimised or
None of which I am	aware.				
	inability of	the propo	sal		
Q10. Do you consid	er that the propose			i.e. without havir	ig likely luture
210. Do you considisproportionate ecc	er that the propose			i.e. without havir	ig likely future
210. Do you considisproportionate ecc	er that the propose			i.e. without havir	ig likely future
210. Do you considi isproportionate eco	er that the propose nomic, social and/			i.e. without havir	ig likely future
ge 16: Susta 210. Do you conside disproportionate eco /es ge 17: Gene	er that the propose nomic, social and/	or environmenta	I impact?		