Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Phil Richardson
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

20 mph is too low on the majority of urban roads unless in close proximity to specific dangers. Schools, hospitals etc. The focus should be on improving driving standards not a backward step in road transport.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

Please explain the reasons for your response

Increased driver training and lessons. A driving license being a privilege not a right. Harsher penalties for dangerous driving and bad driving.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Increased revenue from speeding cameras.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Lack of concentration in driving. Slower journeys. Degradation of driving standards. A continual fixation on lower limits instead of increased driving standards.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Removal of though of drivers.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	Х					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists	Х					
Other						

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?
proposed bill to flave:
Police Scotland
Please explain the reasons for your response Increased costs in signage, minor accidents, road rage, vehicle maintenance.
Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?
There wont be any benefits.
Page 14: Equalities
Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?
Negative
Please explain the reasons for your response It will have the same negative impact across all groups of people.
Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?
Leaving the limit at 30.
Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal
Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?
No

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response