Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Professional with experience in a relevant subject
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

i feel that for restricted roads a 20 limit is needed as there are a lot of children in these areas. however before and after a certain time of the day i do not feel the 20 limit needs to be in place (for instance after 9 pm and before 7am).

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

a reduction in speed within built up areas, meaning a safer community for children out playing. reduction in crashes etc

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

people would not adhere to the speed limit.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

i think that national campaign and televised advertisement is needed. i also think reduce speed notices coming in to the areas need to be more prominent, and i feel that speed cameras are needed in problem areas as well

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		Х				
Motorists		Х				
Other						

Police Scotland					
Please explain the reas there would be costs in g the cost of accidents and	joing forward wi	th the plans, pr	oducing signange nis.	and advertiser	nents. but overall
Q7. Do you believe there	will be any oth	er benefits to re	ducing the speed	l limit from 30m	ph to 20mph?
No Response					
aa 11. Eaualiti	••				
nge 14: Equalition	35				
Q8. What overall impact Equality Act 2010): race, marriage and civil partne	disability, sex,	gender re-assig	nment, age, relig		
Neutral (neither positive	nor negative)				
Q9. Could any negative avoided?	mpact of the pro	oposed Bill on a	any of these prote	cted groups be	minimised or
No Doonones					
No Response					
No Response					
No Response					
No Response age 16: Sustaina	ability of t	he propos	sal		
	ability of t	he propos	sal		
age 16: Sustaina	at the proposed	Bill can be deli	vered sustainably	vi.e. without ha	ving likely future
age 16: Sustaina Q10. Do you consider th disproportionate econom	at the proposed	Bill can be deli	vered sustainably	v i.e. without ha	ving likely future
	at the proposed	Bill can be deli	vered sustainably	v i.e. without ha	ving likely future
age 16: Sustaina Q10. Do you consider th disproportionate econom	at the proposed	Bill can be deli	vered sustainably	vi.e. without ha	ving likely future
age 16: Sustaina Q10. Do you consider th disproportionate econom	at the proposed	Bill can be deli	vered sustainably	v i.e. without ha	ving likely future

No Response