Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

There are many roads (outwith residential area) with wide payments on both sides of the road. These roads are often busy roads in the city. By reducing the speed limit to 20mph, it will only cause congestion, and result some drivers speeding up more because of the delay. I think within housing estate/residential areas/school areas, are fine to restrict the limit.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

I cannot see any advantages on this proposal.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

will just end up causing congestion and angry drivers.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Educate people, advertise via radio, tv, public posters, to raise awareness.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists						
Other						
Police Scotland						

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have? Please explain the reasons for your response The fine will go straight to the local council. Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph? No, not really. A blanket restriction to all speed limit is not the answer to road safety. There is a lot more in it. Pedestrian needs to be educated how to cross the road properly. If people not using the pelican crossing, not paying attention to any on coming cars, accident would happens regardless the speed of the car. Yes, it might reduce the "damage" of the person if it got run over, but, still not helping on road safety. Page 14: Equalities Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity? Unsure Please explain the reasons for your response Not sure Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided? not sure Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future

disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Unsure

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

It is good to implement in the residential areas and school areas. But not in the main city's streets and industrial area.