

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

30mph is not an unsafe speed on arterial routes into town/city centres. The current 20mph zones near schools and in residential areas work fine; there is no need for a blanket 20mph limit which is clearly just designed to trap more people speeding (at the current limit) and raise revenue for the police/government. Please govern the country and stop micro-managing and meddling in our daily lives. By all means catch people doing more than 30mph currently

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

A blanket 20mph is not needed. 30mph works perfectly fine if it is enforced correctly

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Raising money for the police Xmas party? Can't see any other advantages

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Traffic jams, pollution, frustrated drivers, longer journey times, cost of replacing 30mph signs with 20mph ones

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Employ more policemen to police dangerous and careless driving - it's not always speed that is the problem

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			X			
Local Authorities	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Motorists	X					
Other						
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Motorists will use more fuel in acceleration and braking. More wear on the vehicle. Journeys take longer. Local authorities have to replace all 30mph signs, that'll cost a fair bit. Maybe I should start a company making 20mph signs and cash in on this ridiculous proposal

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Please explain the reasons for your response

Doesn't matter what your religion is, what colour your face is or what sex you are; this idiocy affects everyone regardless of who you are

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Yes leave the limit at 30mph

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Cost of signs Increased pollution Waste of time

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Chuck this pointless bill out