Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Mr Ronald Cullum
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your vie	w of the proposal to	replace the current	: 30mpn detault
speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.			

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

20 MPH is too slow as a default limit.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Congestion, therefore pollution.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

No Response

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists	Х					
Other						Х
Police Scotland						

Equality Act 2010)	mpact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, partnership, pregnancy and maternity?
Neutral (neither po	sitive nor negative)
Q9. Could any negavoided?	pative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or
ige 16: Sust	tainability of the proposal der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future conomic, social and/or environmental impact?
Q10. Do you consi	der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
nge 16: Sust Q10. Do you consi disproportionate ed	der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
nge 16: Sust Q10. Do you consi disproportionate ed	der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future conomic, social and/or environmental impact?
age 16: Sust Q10. Do you consi disproportionate ed No	der that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future conomic, social and/or environmental impact? eral any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default spee

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No Response