Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Professional with experience in a relevant subject
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

It creates unessessary slowing down of traffic in key areas not attributed to high priority road safetey areas, creates dangerous situations with cyclists and cars even more so and creates more pollution in the long term as cars are not designed to be idling and or travelling consistently at such slow speeds

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

No one listens and at then end of the day parliament delivers on brings regardless of public opinions. Take the trams for example...

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

If it's used in key safety areas i.e. Schools then safer areas for children other than that none.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Potentially more incidents with cyclists and pedestrians who can't judge 20mph properly. Slower times with traffic and also it's a deferent for some entering the cities thus being an issue for business

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Police is not an option as the government has cut resources and staff. You are creating more problems there. How about just not doing it!! It's pointless and proves nothing

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	х					
Local Authorities	х					
Motorists	X					

Q6.	Taking a	account	of both	costs a	nd potentia	l savings,	what financial	impact	would	you ex	pect the
pro	posed Bi	ill to have	∍?								

Othe	r X			
Polic Scotlan	e d			

Please explain the reasons for your response

No matter what you do his is going to cost and as usual it's the motorists that suffer.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

There is no benefit having a blanket 20mph!!!

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

What's sexuality, race and gender got to do with speed limits?!? What a ridiculous pointless question. Disabled may have challenges judging 20mph as it's a very difficult speed to judge as a pedestrian.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Why are you protecting groups? This has zero to do with that

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

My lack of enthusiasm for this should be answer enough

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Don't do it. We all know you have an agenda against motorists but you are cutting your nose to spite your face. Business will suffer with this ridiculous proposal