

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Graham hill

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Houses are going up everywhere and congestion is getting worse on main routes into Edinburgh. People are now detouring through Roslin village main street via the Glen including lorries. Especially lorries from the council depot in Gore bridge. You must include the main street as a 20mph as this is the busiest road and most hazardous for children crossing. If this was also a 20 this will also put people off taking this route. This is the main road that has cause for concern not the side streets with limited traffic. Lots of incidents and accidents with pets in this road now. You have built lots of houses so please take ownership and keep our village safe by making it all a 20. Pointless if not as this is the problem in our village. Please put the midlothian and borders commuters off. There is so much more proposed housing and it is only going to get worse and result in an serious accident. Think speed think children's life's. All the school kids cross the main street from the park to the main store. Don't let a commuter kill our children by legally allowing them to go over 20.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

Make all village routes 20 not just side streets. Main routes are the oriblem.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Save lifes. Less impact in stone work. Less road damage, pollution. Stress.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Review parking issue on main street roslin. Current traffic islands push traffic towards houses and pavements increasing risk and damaging cement on buildings.

They take away too much parking spaces. Double parking etc.

Needs to be reviewed. Would be good to see one side of road blockedand single file 20 to slow down and give more parking.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists				X		
Other						X
Police Scotland						

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Yes only if you reduce main village routes to 20 too. Pointless otherwise

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

As per you must make the main routes a 20 as this is the problem.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

If you dont make the main routes a 20 too then it is a waste of time as its hard to hit 30 in side streets anyway. You will put people off driving through villages that are 20 and this would be perfect

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response