

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Depends on the road, drivers very should be allowed to make responsible judgement of speed that is suitable with 30 as a maximum. Unfortunately, speeding idiots will never be stopped no matter the speed limit and responsible drivers are penalised by their behaviour.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

Please explain the reasons for your response

I don't think any further changes need to be made to speed limits, there's surely better use of parliament time to pass more important bills.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None. Traffic is already slow for general travelling around, would rather see enforcement of the 30mph speed limit, especially the boy racers and motor bikes who charge around at all hours of the night being a danger and noise nuisance. There's no point in a 20mph limit when there's a significant segment of such drivers who adhere to no speed limit. As a cyclist as well as a driver, I feel no safer as cars can side swipe you at any speed.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Slowing down travel time even more for responsible drivers.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

There's not even compliance to 30mph by some drivers! Look at them first.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Local Authorities	X					
Motorists	X					
Other						X
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Time and petrol for drivers, obviously costs as well in rolling out new speed limits which are confusing and inconsistent.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No as already mentioned, too many drivers already not adhering to 30mph.

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

Can't see any relevance whatsoever.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No idea, can't see any relevance.

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Totally unworkable

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No