# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
John Richmond
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Many drivers are unwilling or incapable of driving at a safe speed according to road conditions. They require clear guidance to limit their speed.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Local council had a consultation about 20mph limit which had a limited responce and is taking a long time to implement even in the few locations which had a ;arge number in favour of the reduced limit.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Safer streets

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

More people braking the law

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

More discreet speed traps

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			X			
Local Authorities		Х				
Motorists				Х		
Other			Х			

Police Scotland					
Please explain the reas Cost of signs. Reduction					
7. Do you believe there	will be any other	benefits to re	educing the spee	d limit from 30mp	oh to 20mph?
Encourage some people	to cycle more. L	ess serious ro	oad traffic collisio	ns	
ge 14: Equalities  8. What overall impact in the country of the co	s the proposed E disability, sex, go	ender re-assig	gnment, age, relig	ng protected grou gion and belief, s	ups (under the exual orientation
narriage and civil partner		and maternity	<b>y</b> ?		
Q9. Could any negative invoided?  No Response	mpact of the prop	posed Bill on a	any of these prote	ected groups be	minimised or
ge 16: Sustaina	-			wie without hav	ring likely future
lisproportionate econom				y i.e. without hav	ing likely future
es es					
go 17, Conoral					
ge 17: General  211. Do you have any ot			the m	ha aatabii-i 00	and defectly as