Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

The road limit needs to be reduced outside schools and the speed limit needs to be adhered to. All schools should have at most a 30mph limit outside when they are in use.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

Please explain the reasons for your response

Local council could introduce a lower limit outside our school and enable safe, off road parking for cars.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Raise driver awareness and reduce risk of injury to pedestrians.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

20mph limits on roads with little pedestrian use would slow traffic for no gain but a lot of frustration on the part of drivers.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

No Response

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		Х				
Motorists		Х				
Other						

Q6. Taking account proposed Bill to have		otential savings, wh	at financial impac	t would you expect the
Police Scotland				
Q7. Do you believe No Response	t <mark>here will be any oth</mark>	er benefits to reduc	ng the speed limi	it from 30mph to 20mph?
age 14: Equa	lities			
Equality Act 2010):		gender re-assignme		otected groups (under the and belief, sexual orientation
No Response				
Q9. Could any nega avoided?	tive impact of the pr	oposed Bill on any o	of these protected	groups be minimised or
No Response				
age 16: Susta	•		d sustainably i.e.	without having likely future
disproportionate eco				g,
ago 17: Gono	ral			
age 17: Gene		or suggestions on tl	ne proposal to est	tablish a 20mph default sp
limit on restricted ro No Response			,	,
· ·				