

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

I am fully supportive because I believe it will save lives, reduce injuries, and improve health & wellbeing.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Nothing will ever get done or implemented without a Bill in Parliament!

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reduction of injuries and fatalities and improvement in health and wellbeing. Less congested roads as parents, for example, will let their children walk to school and cross roads if it was safer for them.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Can't really see any disadvantages but probably a perceived notion that reduced speed would slow them down and their vehicle journey would take longer.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Advertise on TV & radio and on social media  
Education on the advantages and perceived disadvantages.  
Police stopping & education.

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government					X	
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists					X	

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

<b>Other</b>						
<b>Police Scotland</b>						

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Overall significant reduction in costs as there would be less fatalities and injuries which would reduce public services costs such as police & NHS. Health & wellbeing improved so reduced costs to the NHS. So many families wouldn't be devastated due to fatalities or serious injuries.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Many more people would start walking as it would be safer, therefore, roads during school run times would be less congested.  
Parents would be less anxious about allowing their children to walk to school alone.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly positive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Safer roads would be beneficial for everyone but particularly those with disabilities, age, pregnancy & maternity.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

I don't see any negative impact on these protective groups at all!

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

I don't see any future impacts on anything at all!

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Some people won't like it -- such as those who like to speed, those who are not disabled or aged, or those who don't have children.