Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. V	Vhich	of the f	ollowing b	est ex	presses	your	view (of the	proposal	to r	eplace	the cur	rrent 3	30mph	default
speed	d limit	on rest	ricted roa	ds with	a 20mp	h lim	it.								

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

My children currently attend a school which is on a long straight road which is also a bus route. Cars currently speed along the stretch of road endangering the lives of the children attending the school.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

Speed bumps already installed, police have stopped speeders but this has not stopped people speeding.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Less risk to the lives of children.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Possibly more restrictions on parents parking along the stretch of road as this can also cause frustration for residents within the area if they are trying to get to or from work which may result in non parent residents speeding past the school.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			Х			
Local Authorities		Х				
Motorists		Х				

Q6. Taking accou proposed Bill to h		and potential sa	ivings, what fi	nancial impact v	vould you expect t	he			
Other Police Scotland			X						
Please explain the reasons for your response Local authority for signage, etc & possible police presence form speed checking. Motorists may be fined for breaking speed limits which in turn will increase police revenue.									
Q7. Do you belie	ve there will be ar	ny other benefits	s to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 20m	nph?			
Noise reductions	for residents fron	n people taking	shortcut thro	ugh housing est	ate.				
Page 14: Equ	ıalities								
O8 What overall	impact is the pror	oosed Bill likely	to have on th	e following prote	ected arouns (unde	er the			
): race, disability,	sex, gender re	-assignment,		ected groups (und d belief, sexual ori				
Equality Act 2010): race, disability, I partnership, pre	sex, gender re gnancy and ma	-assignment,						
Equality Act 2010 marriage and civi): race, disability, I partnership, pred ositive nor negati	sex, gender regnancy and ma	-assignment,						
Equality Act 2010 marriage and civil Neutral (neither particular) Please explain t): race, disability, I partnership, pred ositive nor negati	sex, gender regnancy and ma	-assignment,						
Equality Act 2010 marriage and civil Neutral (neither particular) Please explain to Safer roads): race, disability, l partnership, predoctive nor negation whereasons for years of the reasons for years.	sex, gender regnancy and mave) our response	-assignment, ternity?	age, religion and		entation,			
Equality Act 2010 marriage and civi Neutral (neither p Please explain t Safer roads Q9. Could any ne): race, disability, l partnership, predoctive nor negation whereasons for years of the reasons for years.	sex, gender regnancy and mave) our response	-assignment, ternity?	age, religion and	d belief, sexual ori	entation,			

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely fu	uture
disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?	

Yes

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response