# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

#### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Having experienced the reduction to 20mph recently on many of Edinburgh's roads, it has made me feel much safer as both a driver and a cyclist. Joining traffic from a side road is much easier as the relative speeds are closer, I've noticed no discernible change in the time it takes me to get around the city as most time is spent at lights/in queues than in actually driving at the speed limit. Whilst few seem to respect the 20mph limit, it appears to me to have reduced typical speeds to nearer 30, rather than 40 when the roads were 30mph limit.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Improved road safety, encouraging more pedestrians/cyclists thus reducing pollution/congestion.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Just apply the law - it clearly isn't applied now otherwise people wouldn't speed all the time.

### Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			х			
Local Authorities				x		
Motorists			Х			
Other			Х			

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Police Scotland			
--------------------	--	--	--

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Local authorities wouldn't have to label one road as 20, another as 30 - thus reducing the need for specific per-road signage.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Safer roads will encourage more non-motorised forms of transport, which is great for the general health of the population.

### Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

### Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

#### Please explain the reasons for your response:

Safer roads encourages more peds/cyclists, which has a positive environmental and economical (e.g. NHS savings due to healthier population, less air pollution etc) impact. Road fatalities, apart from the emotional cost, cost hundreds of thousands of pounds, so reducing these by any amount is economically positive.

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

No Response