# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

### Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Other (e.g. clubs, local groups, groups of individuals, etc.)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Changing 30mph to 20mph across the board is a bit extreme, far better way would be to simplify the process local authorities currently apply.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Why are you making a meal of this simple task, simplify the process you already have, no consultation required just get on with it.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No Response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

No Response

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government						X
Local Authorities						X
Motorists						Х

						X
Other						^
Scotland						
7. Do you believ	e there will be a	ny other benefi	ts to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 20	Omph?
No Response						
ge 14: Equ	alities					
Q8. What overall	mpact is the pro	posed Bill likely	/ to have on th	e following prote	ected groups (un	der the
Equality Act 2010 narriage and civi	: race, disability	, sex, gender re	e-assignment,			
Jnsure						
Silidaro						
Q9. Could any ne avoided?	gative impact of	the proposed E	Bill on any of th	nese protected g	roups be minimi	sed or
No Response						
No Response						
No Response						
	tainability	of the pro	oposal			
	tainability	of the pro	oposal			
nge 16: Sus	ider that the pro	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
age 16: Sus Q10. Do you cons disproportionate o	ider that the pro	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
No Response  age 16: Sus  Q10. Do you constisproportionate e	ider that the pro	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
nge 16: Sus Q10. Do you cons disproportionate o	ider that the pro	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
1ge 16: Sus 210. Do you cons disproportionate of	ider that the pro conomic, social	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
ge 16: Sus 210. Do you cons disproportionate o	ider that the pro conomic, social	posed Bill can l	be delivered s		rithout having like	ely future
ge 16: Sus 210. Do you cons disproportionate of	ider that the proconomic, social	posed Bill can l and/or environ	be delivered s mental impact	?		