

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Zoe Nolan

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Primary School, residential area, kids living on both sides of road and crossing road to access play areas on both sides. Care home for vulnerable adults who have to walk on narrow pavements along the side of the road. Lorries from the Caperboard factory thundering along the road all through the day or night.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

There is no money available for average speed cameras through our village (which would immediately solve the problem and greatly reduce the risk to life) therefore speed restrictions to 20mph is better than nothing.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Slower vehicles would reduce the risk to life for children and vulnerable adults.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

More traffic police on our roads.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government			X			
Local Authorities			X			
Motorists				X		

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Other			X			
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Motorists could save money on fuel by driving slower and a decrease in accidents would result in an overall saving in public sector funds.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Yes. Better chance of survival in an accident. Less accidents.

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Neutral (neither positive nor negative)

Please explain the reasons for your response

No impact.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

There would be no adverse impact.

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Surely a decrease in accidents would be an overall saving.

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Just do it.