

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Jackie Kennedy

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

We need our streets near our schools to be safer. If the speed limit is lowered it will ensure our communities are safer for play, walking, cycling and somewhat our well being will be improved. Especially our childrens.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

We need the legislation so that it's taken seriously and there's no loop holes.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Having opportunities for children and other vulnerable groups to get from A to B safely. Encouraging health and well being.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

If this matter is not addressed in a reasonable timescale it leaves the opportunity to be forgotten about

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Well we have cameras in operation within Renfrewshire, good Police and Warden Patrol required around school starting and finishing times. When the community sees tvst this has been established they get used to the change

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists				X		

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Other			X			
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

All responsible parties would welcome safety and wellbeing before costs. The costs wouldn't be increased really

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Yes overall a healthy awareness that safety is paramount

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

Please explain the reasons for your response

We all want our communities to be safer and know that we are caring for each other's needs

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

I don't see any negative impact only positive

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

Please explain the reasons for your response:

Driving safely and with a reduced speed limit is only beneficial for the environment. Encouraging a change for the greater good in respect of our communities being safe is a positive way forward

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

I hope the bill gets passed for our local communities