Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
on behalf of an organisation
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
No Response
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
Public sector body (Scottish/UK Government/Government agency, local authority, NDPB)
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Rosemount & Mile End Community Council are supportive of any initiative that would improve Road Safety in our area and the Country as a whole. However, we believe that any legislation will be difficult to enforce in Cities due to personnel resources (namely Police).

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Potential reduction in speeds on inner City roads near residential areas.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Enforcement of the measures.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Clear communication and more resources would be needed for effective police enforcement and it is not clear that this would be the best place to invest public monies on improving Road Safety.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		Х				
Motorists			Х			
Other						Х
Police Scotland						

ıge 14: Eq	ualities
Equality Act 201	Il impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the I0): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation vil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?
Neutral (neither	positive nor negative)
	negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or
avoided?	
No Response age 16: Su	stainability of the proposal nsider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
No Response age 16: Su	
No Response age 16: Su	nsider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
No Response age 16: Su Q10. Do you co disproportionate	nsider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future
No Response age 16: Su Q10. Do you co disproportionate	nsider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future e economic, social and/or environmental impact?
No Response age 16: Su Q10. Do you co disproportionate No	nsider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future economic, social and/or environmental impact? eneral ve any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default spec

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?