

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

I wholeheartedly object this proposal due to the reasons below; 1. Travelling at 20mph has saved no lives to date (there is no study that has shown this) 2. This would mean more traffic time and thus more polluting 3. This would mean more frustration and therefore more accidents 4. Individuals travelling at 20mph are more likely to be distracted and not pay as much attention 5. Motorcyclists have more difficulty at keeping an active presence at this speed. 6. This would mean more traffic jams and congestion If this proposal is implemented then you will see younger drivers have more contempt for the speed limits and less regard for pedestrians and other road users. they will build frustration and then you will see a lot more street racers as the result of this frustration. Keep the speed at 30 except outside schools where 20 has been implemented before. I also advocate we rescind the current 20mph measure in the city.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

there are no advantages

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

as described in my previous response

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

see previous responses at driver frustration

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					
Local Authorities	X					
Motorists	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Other	X					
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

More traffic time, more congestion, more pollution more accidents. more signage (roads are busy enough) more enforcement, more court appearances more un paid fines, the list is endless this is not a cheap proposal

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

No benefits.

(the only rhetoric is that hitting a child at 20 in stead of 30 would have less injuries, that may be true but there will be more accidents so the number of injuries will go up)

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Negative

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Please reject this proposal if you do not want a civil discontent to arise.