Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Member of the public
Disease select the setagen which heat describes your organisation
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Please explain the reasons for your response

As a driver, I take responsibility for my driving I pay insurance and tax. Parents need to take responsibility for their kids, no matter what speed cars do if the parent doesn't teach their child correctly it won't make a difference what speed drivers do. Kids don't pay insurance if they cause a accident it's still the drive that has to pay the premium. Who is going to compensate me for using extra fuel for traveling slower, what's you next complaint cars will be causing to much pollutions

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

this is wrong it totally against drivers people that are making these rule have their head clearly rammed up their own arse

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None your going to cause more road rage more pollution and I'll say more accidents cause kids will think they have more time to run across the roads

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Pissing drivers off, well done its working on me

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

compliance will not happy from me why not put more railings along the pavements it would achieve the same without upsetting anyone

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					

Local	Χ						
Authorities							
Motorists	X						
Other)	X —
Police Scotland							
lease explain th ost of fuel cost o nonitor this pish g	f marketing cost			t the state or	n Britain and	you want police to	0
7 Do you believ	e there will be a	ny other hen	pefits to reduc	ing the speed	d limit from 3	Omph to 20mph?	
7. Do you believe	e there will be a	ny other ben	ients to reduc	ing the speed	u IIIIII IIOIII 3	ompir to zompir:	
No							
	alities						
	alities						
ge 14: Equ	mpact is the pro	, sex, gende	r re-assignm			groups (under the ef, sexual orienta	
ge 14: Equ 28. What overall in quality Act 2010) harriage and civil	mpact is the pro	, sex, gende	r re-assignm				
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall in quality Act 2010) parriage and civil	mpact is the pro	, sex, gende	r re-assignm				
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall in quality Act 2010) parriage and civil	mpact is the pro	, sex, gende	r re-assignm				
ge 14: Equ 18. What overall in the second s	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	, sex, gende gnancy and	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli		tior
ge 14: Equ 88. What overall in quality Act 2010) narriage and civil degative	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	, sex, gende gnancy and	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 28. What overall in equality Act 2010) harriage and civil elegative 29. Could any negvoided?	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	, sex, gende gnancy and	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall in the second se	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	, sex, gende gnancy and	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall is quality Act 2010) harriage and civil degative 9. Could any negvoided?	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	the propose	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall is quality Act 2010) harriage and civil degative 9. Could any negvoided?	mpact is the pro : race, disability partnership, pre	the propose	er re-assignm maternity?	ent, age, reliç	gion and beli	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 8. What overall in quality Act 2010) harriage and civil degative 19. Could any negvoided? 10 Response	mpact is the pro race, disability partnership, pre	the propose	d Bill on any	of these prote	ected groups	ef, sexual orienta	tior
ge 14: Equ 18. What overall in quality Act 2010) harriage and civil degative 19. Could any negvoided? 10 Response	mpact is the pro race, disability partnership, pre	of the proposed Bill ca	or re-assignm maternity? d Bill on any proposal	ent, age, relig	ected groups	ef, sexual orienta	tior

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

It should not happen Westminster wants to run everything they should maybe test this as well