Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?
an individual
Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)
Professional with experience in a relevant subject
Please select the category which best describes your organisation
No Response
Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.
I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)
Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.
Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1.۱	Which	of the	followir	ig best	expres	ses y	our/	view	of the	proposal	to	replace	the o	current	30mph	default
spee	d limit	on res	stricted	roads	with a 2	0mp	h lim	it.								

Partially opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

20 mph is fine in residential areas. Areas like Queen Street, main thoroughfares, and other city centre areas should stay 30mph. There are plenty of pedestrian crossings, and the number of traffic lights go a long way towards slowing traffic. The measures need to be sensible, and considerate to everyone.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

I see none. Car drivers are already frustrated with the state of the roads, traffic congestion, and hundreds of speed bumps. Pedestrians need to take some responsibility for their own safety. How about jaywalking fines? Why is the car driver always at fault?

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Frustrated car drivers, disregard for speed limits. Pedestrians not being responsible for their own safety.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

I don't think there should be a national 20mph speed limit. Only in residential/school areas. The measures we have, warning signs, flashing lights at school times, and speed bumps are sufficient measures

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost- neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	Х					
Local Authorities	Х					
Motorists	Х					
Other						

Q6. Taking according proposed Bill to h	unt of both costs a nave?	and potential sa	ivings, what fi	nancial impact v	would you expect	the
Police Scotland						
	he reasons for your traffic, enforcer	•	ıy not just mol	re pedestrian cro	ossings?	
O7 Do you belie	ve there will be an	v other benefit	s to reducing	the speed limit f	rom 30mph to 20	mnh?
Q7. Do you belie	ve triere will be ar	ly other benefit	s to reducing	ine speed iimii i	TOTT SUMPTE TO 201	прпт
No benefits to ar	nyone. More educa	ation in school	about how to	be a responsible	e and safe pedes	rian
Page 14: Equ	ualities					
Equality Act 2010	impact is the prop)): race, disability, I partnership, preg	sex, gender re	-assignment,			
Neutral (neither p	oositive nor negati	ve)				
Q9. Could any ne avoided?	egative impact of t	he proposed Bi	ill on any of th	ese protected g	roups be minimis	ed or

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?
No
Please explain the reasons for your response: 30mph limit is sufficient. 20mph will increase congestion, especall at traffic lights and roundabouts

Page 17: General

No Response

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

A jaywalking charge for pedestrians who don't use pedestrian crossings