

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

While this sounds good in theory, there is neither the resources or money to enforce this proposed change. Concerned that apart from slowing up already congested areas, will lure people into false sense of security that cars should be travelling slower, particularly younger children

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Please explain the reasons for your response

Increased speed monitoring soft ware and mobile speed cameras that can be moved around residential areas

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Think this would work well around schools, but outwith this, just obstructive

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Will slow traffic down for no obvious reason, increase pollution, and pointless without the enforcement to back it up

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Additional police and enforcement

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities	X					
Motorists		X				

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Other						X
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Increased signage, road markings, police and fuel costs for motorists

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

None

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly negative

Please explain the reasons for your response

Unsure why slower cars is being tied to the equality act?

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

This I believe to be a wholly increased expense for no benefit. We can't get roads repaired currently, let alone significant cost for signage upgrades, speed camera alterations, road marking upgrades required to implement new speed limit

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Think this is a pointless waste of resources and time when the quality of education in schools is continuing to fall so significantly