

Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

No Response

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Mrs Fleming

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Please explain the reasons for your response

Proposal has no fact based on accident rate vs 20mph to 30mph and is therefore a complete waste of tax payers money. Would prefer money & time to be spent supplying doctors as it is a disgrace there has been no GP for a couple of years in parts of Scotland's Capital. Plus some parts also still have woefully broadband etc.. Where is the support for the businesses to supply jobs etc? It has caused issues with deliveries taking longer increasing carrier costs. On roads where it has already been rolled out in Edinburgh and the replacement signs have been placed in inappropriate places behind obstacles trees etc... It has also made it more dangerous as cyclists do not comply with the speed limits. It has degraded quality of life commuting to work now takes longer and increases the pollutants in the air as vehicles have not been designed to run efficiently at such low speeds. Manchester has rescinded their 20 mph as it has achieved no benefits only increased costs.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response

The whole concept is backwards, costly and achieves no benefit.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

None.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

Cost no benefit. No proof of improving safety.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Would be better spending revenue elsewhere that improves the economy & jobs in Scotland.

Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government	X					

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

Local Authorities	X					
Motorists	X					
Other	X					
Police Scotland						

Please explain the reasons for your response

Vehicles are not designed to run at such slow speeds. Delivery cost significantly increased. Pollutants will also increase. More hazardous having cyclists travelling faster than vehicles. Policing cost increase. Bad law historically gets ignored and so far do not see it different in this case.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

Absolutely none.

Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Negative

Please explain the reasons for your response

Significantly increases road rage. Makes drivers less observant.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

No

Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

No

Please explain the reasons for your response:

How can taking longer to drive from a to b not increase costs to businesses?

Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Completely opposed.